



Testing Laboratory Interactions for Evaluating Biometrics

Raul Sanchez-Reillo,

J. Uriarte-Antonio, I. Tomeo-Reyes, B. Fernandez-Saavedra



Carlos III University of Madrid – Scientific Park c/ Gregorio Peces Barba, 1; 28918 - Leganes (Madrid) - SPAIN e-mail: {rsreillo, juriarte, itomeo, mbfernan}@ing.uc3m.es







- Accredited Evaluations
- Quality Assurance in Evaluations
- Evaluations in Biometrics
 - Is there any difference from other product evaluations?
- Proposals for Interoperable Evaluations
 Conformance
 - Comormance
 - Performance

Conclusions and Future working lines







- Nowadays most of products can be certified to comply with certain rules
- Introduction In

Evaluations in Biometrics

Interoperable Evaluations

Conclusions

- In order to accepted, those certifications should either: > Follow a defined and recognized scheme
 - Being issued by accredited laboratories that evaluate those products following ISO 17025, and all relevant international standards
- Main advantage in using an accredited laboratory is that they provide evaluations that are:
 - Interoperable
 - Traceable
 - Repeatable
- i.e.: a certificate issued by an accredited laboratory shall be accepted by any other certification scheme





Evaluations in

Biometrics

Interoperable

Evaluations

Conclusions

Introduction



- An accredited laboratory, in order to maintain its accreditation shall demonstrate periodically its technical capability and evaluation quality
- For keeping evaluation quality, a lab shall:
 Maintain all tools calibrated
 - Perform auto-analysis, i.e. repeat an evaluation and reach the same results
 - Perform intercomparisons with other laboratories, i.e. the same test is given to another laboratory, as to see if they reach the same result.



IBPC 2010 NIST

- There are currently few Labs that carry on Evaluations of Biometric products
 - As soon as Society, Industry and/or Administrations start requesting certified products, there will be the need of more labs to start business
- Several types of evaluations can be carried out in Biometrics, among them we can find:
 - Conformance Testing
 - BioAPI Conformance (ISO/IEC 24709-x)
 - Data format Conformance (ISO/IEC 29109-x and rev.19794-x)
 - Performance Testing (ISO/IEC 19795-x)
 - Technology Evaluations
 - Scenario Evaluations
 - Operational Evaluations
 - > Security
 - Following Common Criteria

in Biometrics

Evaluations

Interoperable Evaluations

Conclusions

Evaluations in Biometrics

IBPC 2010 NIST

- So far, Biometrics do not seem to present much of a difference from other technologies
 - We currently have published standard that can be used as the basis for the certification
 - Unfortunately there are major differences that make it difficult to have Accredited Labs for Biometrics
 - Testing databases are not interchangeable
 - Previous experiences have shown that the same algorithm can perform differently depending on the database used
 - Data protection laws difficult the exchange of biometric data in many countries
 - Variability in human properties make it difficult to create "standard databases"
 - Scenario and operational evaluations require end-users to act
 - Data sets will be different for auto-analysis and intercomparisons.
 - As it is a rather new technology, there are not certified tools to be acquired
 - Some of such tools could be Test-DBs

Introduction

Evaluations in Biometrics

Interoperable Evaluations

Conclusions



Evaluations in Biometrics





Raul Sanchez-Reillo – IDTestingLab (UC3M)



IBPC 2010 NIST

Conformance Evaluation:

Problems arise with intercomparisons Data in Format Conformance

> Two approaches:

Send the data records to be analyzed by the other laboratory

o Serious agreements between the labs as to comply with both, data protection laws and audit requirements

Use a certified conformance testing program o is there any available?

Scenario and Operational Evaluations:

A really difficult point:

- Not possible to exchange the "data set"
- Not even possible to "save" the "data set" used

Introduction

Evaluations in

Biometrics

Conclusions



IBPC 2010 NIST

- Technology Evaluation:
 - A clear and detailed methodology is defined
- But Test Databases are the difficult point
 - ISO/IEC 19795-1 says
 - "For technology testing, a generic application and population may be envisioned, ensuring that the tests are neither too hard nor too easy for the systems being evaluated"
 - o Due to variability of human properties, can we find an interoperable definition for generic?
 - o How can we measure the difficulty of a database?
 - Work is being carried out within ISO/IEC 29198 in SC37 for fingerprints
 - But, in case we can build a Standard Test Database …
 - How can we deal with Data Protection Laws?
 - Nowadays there are several databases around the globe
 - Some of them public, some private
 - So, why not a change in the approach?

Introduction

Evaluations in Biometrics

Inter operable Evaluations

Conclusions



IBPC 2010 NIST

- Why not keeping DBs secured and in a single place, and executing the evaluation remotely?
 - ARES is an Automatic Remote Evaluation System that allows developers/laboratories to test their BSPs/TOEs with non-directlyaccessible DBs.







Raul Sanchez-Reillo – IDTestingLab (UC3M)



Conclusions

- Certification of Biometric products are about to be requested
- Laboratories should be ready to perform those evaluations
- But tools shall be available
 - Certified tools for conformance testing
 - ARES can be a possibility for Performance Testing (dealing with Technology Evaluations)
 - International legal procedures should be developed as to be able to exchange personal data
- Quality for Scenario and Operational Evaluations can only be audited by the procedure followed, not by the results obtained



Evaluations in Biometrics

Introduction

```
Interoperable
Evaluations
```

Conclusions





Thank you!!

Questions?

Raul Sanchez-Reillo,

J. Uriarte-Antonio, I. Tomeo-Reyes, B. Fernandez-Saavedra



Carlos III University of Madrid – Scientific Park c/ Gregorio Peces Barba, 1; 28918 - Leganes (Madrid) - SPAIN e-mail: {rsreillo, juriarte, itomeo, mbfernan}@ing.uc3m.es